	Case 1:25-cv-00683-KES-BAM Docume	nt 18 Filed 12/01/25 Page 1 of 2	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	LINUTED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9	FOR THE EASTERN	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10 11 12 13	JAMES ALBERT HODGES, Plaintiff,	Case No. 1:25-cv-00683-KES-BAM ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
13	V.	Docs. 14, 16	
15	MONIQUE DELEON,		
16	Defendant.		
17			
18 19	James Albert Hodges is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil action. The complaint has not yet been screened. On October 16, 2025, plaintiff filed a		
20	motion for preliminary injunction and requested, among other relief sought, to be released from		
21	prison immediately and for damages. Doc. 14.		
22	On October 21, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations		
23	to deny the motion for preliminary injunction. Doc. 16. The magistrate judge determined that		
24	plaintiff had not met the requirements for injunctive relief. <i>Id.</i> at 3. The magistrate judge also		
25	indicated that to the extent plaintiff was attempting to challenge his conviction or the validity of		
26	his continued confinement, the exclusive method for asserting that challenge was by filing a		
27	petition for a writ of habeas corpus. <i>Id.</i> The findings and recommendations were served on		
28	plaintiff and contained notice that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be		
		1	

	Case 1:25-cv-00683-KES-BAM Document 18 Filed 12/01/25 Page 2 of 2		
1	filed within fourteen (14) days of service. <i>Id.</i> Plaintiff filed objections on November 3, 2025.		
2	Doc. 17.		
3	In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), the Court has conducted a de novo review of		
4	this case. Having carefully reviewed the file, the Court concludes that the findings and		
5	recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis. Plaintiff's objections do not		
6	provide any basis to modify the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations.		
7	Accordingly,		
8	1. The findings and recommendations issued on October 21, 2025, Doc. 16, are adopted		
9	in full;		
10	2. Plaintiff's motion for injunctive relief, Doc. 14, is denied.		
11			
12			
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
14	Dated: November 28, 2025 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
2425			
2526			
27			
28			